

SYNOPSIS

08/31/2021

Review of “Viral Load of SARS-CoV-2 in Respiratory Aerosols Emitted by COVID-19 Patients while Breathing, Talking, and Singing”

Article citation: Coleman KK, Wen Tay DJ, Tan KS, Xiang Ong SW, Son TT, Koh MH, et al. Viral load of SARS-CoV-2 in respiratory aerosols emitted by COVID-19 patients while breathing, talking, and singing. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2021 Aug 6 [Epub ahead of print]. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab691>

One-minute summary

- In a study of 22 Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients in Singapore (February–April 2021), the authors measured severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) RNA in coarse (>5 µm diameter) and fine (≤5 µm diameter) respiratory aerosols emitted by patients during 30 minutes of breathing, 15 minutes of talking, and 15 minutes of singing.
- The median time from illness-onset to respiratory aerosol collection was significantly shorter in those with detectable SARS-CoV-2 RNA in aerosols (3 days; interquartile range [IQR]: 2–5; n=13), compared to those with RNA-negative aerosols (5 days; IQR: 4–7; n=9) (p=0.025).
- 13 of the 22 (59%) patients had detectable SARS-CoV-2 RNA in respiratory samples:
 - 85.4% of SARS-CoV-2 N gene RNA copies (viral load) were detected in fine aerosols, compared to 14.6% in coarse aerosols. The proportion of viral RNA copies was consistently higher from fine aerosols compared to coarse aerosols: breathing (54.2% vs. 45.8%), talking (93.1% vs. 6.9%) and singing (83.2% vs. 16.8%). Singing produced the highest number of SARS-CoV-2 RNA copies (52.9% of all RNA copies), followed by talking (40.8%) and breathing at rest (6.3%).
 - For both aerosol sizes, the median viral load during singing (714; IQR: 135–1,216) and talking (478; IQR: 235–1,357) was higher than breathing (63.5; IQR: 0–228). The median viral loads in fine aerosols were also higher during singing and talking compared to breathing; however, there was no difference in median viral loads for coarse aerosols among all activities.
 - All viral cultures were negative.
- The authors conclude that **fine aerosols produced by talking and singing contain more SARS-CoV-2 than coarse aerosols**. The authors call for mitigation efforts against SARS-CoV-2 transmission for indoor environments, such as the use of face masks, physical distancing, increased ventilation, increased air filtration (e.g., high efficiency particulate air filters), air disinfection (e.g., ultraviolet light), and the use of fans to control airflow direction.

Additional information

- Nineteen of 22 (86%) patients were male and the median age of patients was 38 years (range: 23–66).
- The authors collected respiratory samples using the G-II exhaled breath collector. For each patient, respiratory aerosol samples were collected for each activity during the same day. Fine aerosol samples were inoculated on Vero E6 cells and monitored for cytopathic effect (CPE) every 3–5 days for two weeks, with a second passage performed on day 7. Culture attempts were not performed for coarse aerosol samples. RNA was detected and copies calculated from a standard curve.
- Six of the 22 patients emitted detectable SARS-CoV-2 RNA in aerosol samples from all activities (breathing, talking, and singing). Two patients had detectable RNA only after singing and two patients had detectable RNA only after talking. Two of the patients coughed during activities.
- Eight of the 22 patients were infected with the Beta variant (B.1.351), four with Alpha (B.1.1.7), three with Kappa (B.1.617.1) and one with Delta (B.1.617.2). Of the 22 patients, 13 had detectable SARS-CoV-2 RNA in aerosol samples. Three of these 13 patients were asymptomatic and one was presymptomatic. For asymptomatic or presymptomatic patients, day zero was the day of first reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction [RT-PCR]-positive clinical sample. Age, sex, PCR cycle threshold values, positive serology, variant type and symptoms did not differ between those with or without detectable SARS-CoV-2 RNA.
- Limitations (as reported by the authors):
 - Clinical samples (e.g., nasopharyngeal swabs) were not collected on the same day as respiratory aerosol samples; therefore, culturing attempts and infectiousness could not be compared between the two sources.
 - The sample size was not sufficient to compare respiratory aerosols produced in patients infected with variants of concern (VOCs) and non-VOCs and only one patient was infected with Delta.
 - Viral loads detected in aerosol samples were below $10^{3.8}$ genome copies/sample, which are below the suggested 10^5 genome copies/sample required for successful cultures. The authors suggest that longer collection duration may increase the probability of culture success.
 - The authors did not use Vero E6 cells expressing the transmembrane serine protease 2 (TMPRSS2), which may be more sensitive for culturing aerosol samples.

PHO reviewer's comments

- This study identified that fine aerosols produced during talking and singing contained a higher viral load of SARS-CoV-2 compared to coarse aerosols. This study was unable to culture virus from either coarse or fine aerosols which may be due to technique or the absence of infectious levels of virus.
- We advise caution in interpreting the statistical tests performed. For Kruskal-Wallis tests of independence between the median viral RNA copies collected during each activity (Table 4),

there was no *post hoc* test performed to identify which activities differed from one another. For “fine fraction”, the p-value of 0.013 means there is a significant difference, but does not tell you which activities significantly differ from one another. Since each participant performed each activity, the three activities were not independent (an assumption of the Kruskal-Wallis test); therefore, the test is not appropriate for this specific comparison.

- There are limitations in the generalizability of the findings due to the small sample size and that 86% of participants were male. This study was unable to identify differential viral loads between variants which is an important area for further study. The collection technique of using a cone breath collector does not simulate real-world transmission conditions which are subject to dilution, air currents, humidity, light, etc.
- This study highlights the increased infectiousness of SARS-CoV-2 earlier in the illness course and that smaller aerosols are potentially more infectious from some individuals. The study highlights the importance of layered control interventions that include immunization, mask use, and indoor air ventilation to mitigate transmission risk.

Citation

Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion (Public Health Ontario). Review of “Viral load of SARS-CoV-2 in respiratory aerosols emitted by COVID-19 patients while breathing, talking, and singing”. Toronto, ON: Queen’s Printer for Ontario; 2021.

©Queen’s Printer for Ontario, 2021

Disclaimer

This document was developed by Public Health Ontario (PHO). PHO provides scientific and technical advice to Ontario’s government, public health organizations and health care providers. PHO’s work is guided by the current best available evidence at the time of publication. The application and use of this document is the responsibility of the user. PHO assumes no liability resulting from any such application or use. This document may be reproduced without permission for non-commercial purposes only and provided that appropriate credit is given to PHO. No changes and/or modifications may be made to this document without express written permission from PHO.

Public Health Ontario

Public Health Ontario is an agency of the Government of Ontario dedicated to protecting and promoting the health of all Ontarians and reducing inequities in health. Public Health Ontario links public health practitioners, front-line health workers and researchers to the best scientific intelligence and knowledge from around the world.

For more information about PHO, visit publichealthontario.ca.

©Queen’s Printer for Ontario, 2021

